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The Peoples’ Arctic

Balance, diversity, local communities and sustainability lie at the core of development in the Arctic.

The North’s future hinges on a truly circumpolar initiative to capitalize on new technologies to address pressing Arctic issues.

Defining Arcticity

How do international tourists visiting Finnish Lapland understand and define the term ‘Arctic’?

A Climate for Change – Business as Usual or an Alternative Future for the North?

Our willingness to take action is, to a large extent, dependent on how we perceive climate change.
The North’s future is hard to predict, but it will certainly be very different from its past. This year’s Shared Voices magazine asks “Whose Arctic?“ – who has the right to speak for the region, who will benefit from its resources and development, and whose voices will determine this future.

In these times when it seems that everybody is organizing their own Arctic conferences and expert reports, it is important to remember that the North is a homeland to millions of people who wish a future for themselves and their children. It is promising to see new generations of young leaders, new solutions to governance, new forms of jobs, and innovative developments that respect and build on older cultures and traditions. These developments create new ways of expressing culture and pride in one’s own lands, and create jobs and futures for the North in a globalized world.

There is also a deep concern that the North will continue to face outmigration. The region’s well-educated youth face perceptions that key decisions are made outside the region, and that one cannot take part in the modern world from the northern periphery. Concepts like ‘critical mass’ cluster theory and the centralization of creative power in organizations tend to move innovation and leadership to southern centres. The North continues to be perceived as the resource-rich backyard of wealthy countries, where we shall mine, pump, log, fish, or have exciting recreation in pristine nature. Modern technology that could help the North develop also contributes to this trend, as more and more activities can be operated or managed from a distance.

Adaptation to globalization may prove to be an even larger challenge to the North than adaptation to climate change. We need to ensure that the North, in addition to being a resource pool, can also find ways to utilize its comparative advantages and become a place where northerners can be leaders in innovation. Northerners can take the lead in building a distributed cluster of innovation around the Circumpolar North, run global companies, and be the centres for design that provide the solutions to global and northern challenges. It is indeed time to create a ‘climate for change’!

This perspective is one of the drivers behind the University of the Arctic’s (UArctic) new Strategic Plan where our members continue collaboration to “empower the people of the Circumpolar North by providing unique educational and research opportunities through collaboration within a powerful network of members.” We firmly believe that education and research matter. We will need creativity and new ideas also in our universities and colleges. Education and research for the future of the North cannot be a copy of the past, just like it shall not only be a copy of southern approaches. We need to become better in building northern competences that have sufficient trust in the North, to build a northern future that is different and innovative, to utilize the strengths of our ancestors’ culture and knowledge, and accept that distance and small communities can be assets.
THE PEOPLES’ ARCTIC

By Sara Olsvig
Inuit Ataqatigiit (IA), Member of the Danish Parliament, Chair of the Standing Committee of Parliamentarians of the Arctic Region

The impact on small Arctic societies when new industry opens up is dramatic. This situation is likely to be a reality for many places around the Arctic. The social benefits and costs are potentially huge in many of the development projects in the Arctic, especially related to exploitation of natural resources.

Local communities must gain from the new activity. Developing natural resources includes additional risks to the local environment and to the societies. For local people to accept this risk as worthwhile, they need to see clear benefits from the activity. Furthermore, decisions on whether to develop resource extraction projects or not in local areas of the Arctic must lie in the hands of the people involved.

We must make sure that the resources are not just shipped away without any gain for the people living in the Arctic. We also have to make sure that when the resources are exhausted, the company must be responsible for leaving the land in best possible shape for future generations who are still going to have the area as their home. Environmental protection, and open, democratic and transparent processes must be core to any development in the Arctic.

It is a challenge to build balanced economies in the Arctic, and other businesses than non-renewable resource development must be enhanced. The Arctic has great potential, for example in relation to eco-tourism and experience economy. We must be innovative in searching for new business areas to develop, and build capacity locally to make sure that economies are locally anchored, diversified and solid.

Arctic parliamentary cooperation has done many things to address this development, and we will continue to do so at the 11 Conference of Parliamentarians of the Arctic Region in Whitehorse, September 9-11, 2014. One of the main agenda items for the conference is how we can improve capacity building in the Arctic to make sure that the people living in the Arctic are better prepared to take actively part in the development happening in their homelands. This relates to development of the rich natural resources in the Arctic, but also to how we can use this momentum to stimulate innovation and develop businesses that do not depend on non-renewable resources. I believe the work of UAIActic can be an important contributor in this process, through student exchange and building thematic networks.

Another main topic at the Whitehorse conference is Arctic governance. We will look into the existing governance models and decision-making processes in the Arctic and discuss how they can be developed. Finding the right level of governance that fully involves the people is important to be able to address the correct topics. The international cooperation through the Arctic Council, which includes indigenous peoples as “permanent participants” and builds on world class scientific assessments, has been a success. The legal agreements negotiated under the auspices of the Arctic Council are an important new instrument for closer and more binding cooperation. Taking into account the changing Arctic environment, more human activities, and the increased interest in the Arctic from the broader international community, we will discuss what should be the next steps in the international Arctic cooperation and decision making.

Taking into account the changing Arctic and we will continue to do so at the 11 Conference of Parliamentarians of the Arctic Region in Whitehorse, September 9-11, 2014. One of the main agenda items for the conference is how we can improve capacity building in the Arctic to make sure that the people living in the Arctic are better prepared to take actively part in the development happening in their homelands. This relates to development of the rich natural resources in the Arctic, but also to how we can use this momentum to stimulate innovation and develop businesses that do not depend on non-renewable resources. I believe the work of UAIActic can be an important contributor in this process, through student exchange and building thematic networks.

Another main topic at the Whitehorse conference is Arctic governance. We will look into the existing governance models and decision-making processes in the Arctic and discuss how they can be developed. Finding the right level of governance that fully involves the people is important to be able to address the correct topics. The international cooperation through the Arctic Council, which includes indigenous peoples as “permanent participants” and builds on world class scientific assessments, has been a success. The legal agreements negotiated under the auspices of the Arctic Council are an important new instrument for closer and more binding cooperation. Taking into account the changing Arctic environment, more human activities, and the increased interest in the Arctic from the broader international community, we will discuss what should be the next steps in the international Arctic cooperation and decision making.

Initially, I was nervous about living in another country, but once I arrived, Rovaniemi wasn’t as big as I thought. Apart from the language barriers at times, I was in the Arctic, and I felt a bit like home. It had the same periods of light and darkness, snow, northern lights, and reindeer. I also had two great roommates and met fellow Canadians that made my stay easier when dealing with homesickness.

My most memorable part of the exchange was traveling to the Kola Peninsula in Russia, where we saw the effects of resource development on the landscape, people, and towns, and meeting Saami people from the Lofoten area. In Rovaniemi, I also learned more about the Bachelor of Circumpolar Studies (BCS) Program and decided that I was important to take advantage of this opportunity once I returned to Nunavut.

In 2010, I was the first Inuk from Nunavut to graduate from the BCS Program. The program enhanced my skills and prepared me for other international opportunities such as working with the Government of Nunavut, and for the Inuit Circumpolar Council (ICC). Canada, an international indigenous peoples’ organization representing the rights of Inuit from the four countries in Alaska, Canada, Greenland, and Russian Nunavut in Chukotka. ICC is one of the Permanent Participants to the eight-nation Arctic Council, and an official Observer to the United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC). I have worked on an advancing awareness of the important link between health and climate change issues in the Arctic by representing ICC at the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues (UNPFII), the Arctic Council, and at other international fora.

The experience would not have been possible without the opportunities from UAIActic and my desire to improve the lives of Inuit.

Although there are great programs in the North, students usually have to travel to the next largest town in their region that offers more options, and access to higher education usually means leaving home for extended periods of time. This can be a challenge for students with families or people who are the main wage earners. Looking for future opportunities, skills enhancement or simply a career change often means leaving your community. Having the BCS program available online was a huge bonus for me; this method allowed me to stay in Nunavut with my family and job. It wasn’t always easy, but it was worth it. I met a lot of interesting people online, and learned a lot about the other Arctic regions and the common challenges of remoteness, access to services, threats to culture, language, food security, and environmental change. With more and more students learning about the Arctic from different areas, the greater chance of people spreading the knowledge and the ability to influence discussions and policy will help shape the changing Arctic and improve the future of Inuit, and other Arctic indigenous peoples.

I hope this story inspires more students to take the BCS Program, and for extra motivation, I would like to reference a quote from Robert Joseph who stated that “the quality of life for many may depend on you. Go and make a difference, the whole world waits for you.” What are you waiting for?
Arctic research is an actively developing area. As interest in the Arctic region increases due to rapidly growing development, the need for specialists capable of solving problems in different fields of knowledge likewise increases. This need is one of the factors shaping the demand for higher education in the North.

Russian universities tend to benefit more from international cooperation. The further internationalization of education is one of the political priorities of the Russian government and the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation. Criteria related to international activities are used to assess the effectiveness of Russian higher education institutions. The role of academic exchanges, joint research projects and joint educational programs has increased significantly in recent years. This internationalization strategy aims to improve the quality of human capital, develop modern research infrastructure, and attract teachers and researchers to work in Russian universities.

Responsibility for these issues belongs to the current 47 Russian members of UArctic. This fact gives reason to assume that international cooperation in the Arctic region is defined as a priority by the leaders of Russian member institutions, and that the resources available through this network are used by Russian universities for institutional capacity building of students and faculty.

The opportunities that UArctic affords to its members might be considered on three levels. First, UArctic presents a platform for cooperation between international partners at the administrative level: it gives access to interaction with academic institutions and organizations, public authorities, business partners and non-profit organizations of the Arctic and non-Arctic states. One example is the UArctic Rectors’ Forum, where important issues are discussed and agreed upon at the highest administrative level. In addition, UArctic gives its members an opportunity to get involved with education and research policy development in the North through joint activities and projects with IASC, IASSA and the Arctic Council working groups.

Second, UArctic is a platform for establishing strong research and academic relations, particularly through cooperation within the Thematic Networks. Thematic Networks present Russian members with a great potential for developing joint projects and educational programs. The UArctic Research Office, hosted by NArFU, is designed to play a bridging role between Russian and Western scientists in the Arctic and to promote collaborative interdisciplinary research in the North.

Third, UArctic is a tool for students’ involvement in international cooperation through various educational programs (Circumpolar Studies and graduate programs), mobility programs (north2north), educational projects (the Model Arctic Council) and forums (Students’ Forum). All three levels give an excellent perspective for further development of cooperation between Russian UArctic members and their partners abroad.

"UArctic gives its members an opportunity to get involved with education and research policy development in the North."
The Arctic is confronting enormous challenges and hardships, and it also remains on the threshold of a new era of possibilities. The ice is melting faster than ever. This fact has already affected and in the future will have major consequences for the population, plants and animal life. Just take a look at Greenland where the vanishing ice is forcing polar bears into densely populated areas where they forage for food and often end up losing their lives because they also are a great danger to humans.

As the ice disappears, the hunters’ opportunities to find food also disappears in the areas that have been their hunting grounds for many generations. Both humans and animals are forced to adapt to the unfortunate consequences of global warming. At the other end of the scale, some may point to the opportunities of global warming, such as new transportation routes for shipping, that also may increase tourism and business.

The paradox is that while the Arctic in recent years is affected by global warming more than any other region, the Arctic is also becoming the centre of the world’s attention when it comes to energy resources and raw materials. Again, just look at the attention Greenland is receiving from the international big business industry. Many would like to get their hands on the treasures hiding in the Arctic underground.

“We must keep an eye on climate change and hope that its impacts will be as minimal as possible. We in the Nordic countries must take the lead and aim for a climate neutral society. Furthermore, it is important to ensure that those areas that open up as the ice melts are protected as far as possible from malicious activities such as destructive oil drilling,” says Karin Åström.

“As the Northeast Passage opens up the vessels transport increase. It is very important that we have safe ships and are well prepared for any accidents. It is also vital that the people now living in the Arctic can continue to live there even with the climate changes. We must create the conditions for a vibrant Arctic where we can maintain and develop the unique way of life that the people of the region have.”

It is of great importance that the Arctic countries reach agreements that govern how the Arctic will respond to the world’s attention in the future. This applies to how we use our natural resources, how the residents of the Arctic should be respected, and how we can protect the Arctic from increased exploitation.

“Interest in the Arctic is increasing and I am sure that it will continue to increase. Right now, relatively favorable winds are blowing and my impression is that there is an increased interest on the climate issue. Unfortunately, so too does interest in the extraction of oil and gas that now becomes more readily accessible. I think all countries in the Arctic will see the value in the unique environment and increase their resources to try to protect and carefully develop the region. It is of great importance that we also secure fishing in the Arctic so that those who live in this region can continue to work in this industry.”

“Nordic cooperation is also needed in an Arctic context. We have a good tradition of cooperation in most areas, regardless of political orientation. Hopefully, this approach is something we can take with us into Arctic cooperation. For the Nordic Council, it is good that we are talking with a common and clear voice. The members of the Nordic Council have in many areas a common understanding of the Arctic, although I would prefer that the issue of extraction of natural resources associated with climate change be given a higher priority.”

Swedish Karin Åström (S) is the elected president of the Nordic Council and a member of the Swedish parliament, Riksdagen, where she is also chair for the Swedish delegation of the Nordic Council.
Arctic Research Goals and Objectives from the United States

By John Farrell, Executive Director, US Arctic Research Commission

OBSERVE, UNDERSTAND, AND RESPOND TO ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE IN THE ARCTIC
As the Arctic climate continues to warm at twice the global rate, climate system “wild cards,” regional states of emergency, and the possible release of staggering amounts of carbon into the atmosphere; (2) the sharp decline of Arctic glacial and sea ice (75% reduction from 20 years ago), and (3) the climatic impact of black carbon (soot). Recommendations
- Intensify efforts to observe and understand climate change and its impacts on ecosystems, infrastructure, economies, and cultures.
- Synthesize research results and translate them into actionable information.
- Efforts by the US Study of Environmental Arctic Change (USEARCH) program and through the US interagency Arctic Research Program Plan’s every year plan and any steps in the right direction.
- Move from knowledge to action, as successfully demonstrated by the Canadian ArcticNet program.

IMPROVE ARCTIC HUMAN HEALTH
Significant health disparities exist between Arctic and non-Arctic residents. Decreasing rates of infant mortality, fetal alcohol syndrome, chronic respiratory disease, and accidental injury are offset by increasing rates of substance abuse, domestic violence, obesity, diabetes, cancer, and suicide. Adequate infrastructure for water and sanitation is critical; there is a clear connection between health and access to clean water and hand washing. Subsistence foods and affiliated social practices are critically important to the health and well-being of indigenous peoples. Recommendations
- Enhance biomedical and psychiatric research in mental and behavioral health, and, on a detailed basis, review and evaluate intervention efforts to update research priorities and guide the scaling of successful local efforts into broader regional interventions and public health strategies.
- Expand the use of telemedicine to diagnose and treat diseases in remote Arctic regions.
- Make mandatory the collection of water service “status” data at all federally funded facilities.
- Address food security issues.

UNDERSTAND NATURAL RESOURCES
Arctic economies are based on natural resources. The region produces about one-tenth of the world’s oil, a quarter of its natural gas, and assessments suggest there are considerable undiscovered reserves of both. Abundant deposits of metals and minerals are also being discovered and developed. Renewable resources, such as fish, birds, and mammals (marine and terrestrial) and energy (wind, geothermal, hydro, and ocean) provide benefits and future opportunities.

Recommendations
- Expand the use of remote sensing for Arctic exploration and oil and gas development, and share innovative technology and best management practices for Arctic regions.
- Conduct regular assessments of the Arctic and non-Arctic data sets, and enhance scientific exchange programs.

ADVANCE CIVIL INFRASTRUCTURE RESEARCH
Thawing permafrost, reduced sea ice extent, strengthening storms, and eroding coastlines resulting from Arctic climate change are affecting civil infrastructure, such as transportation, communication, and energy delivery. The number of ships moving goods through Arctic waterways is increasing in frequency and duration as global demand for resources rises.

Recommendations
- Maximize the design-life of infrastructure—particularly of water and sanitation systems—as funding declines for construction and for operation and management.
- Develop Arctic-specific technology, design, and engineering for rapidly changing environments.
- Increase applied research to improve land, air, and sea infrastructure that supports community essential services (e.g., energy, utility, communication, and transportation). Immediate needs include collecting baseline data and mapping of coastal and nearshore environments, collecting coastal imagery and elevation data, and installing knowledge management systems to support engineering design and assessment (e.g., an engineering atlas).

ASSESS INDIGENOUS LANGUAGES, IDENTITIES, AND CULTURES
There are over 40 indigenous languages in the circumpolar Arctic. Languages are one of the most important, but vulnerable, elements of Arctic cultural heritage. When speakers of endangered languages switch from their mother tongue to other languages for communication and education, vast amounts of cultural knowledge and tradition are lost.

Recommendation
Develop an integrated Arctic indigenous languages research plan that:
- Conducts regular assessments to understand the extent and diversity of languages and their viability for future generations.
- Documents procedures to ensure that languages and place names used by Arctic people are recorded and preserved.
- Promotes interregional and international activities geared at enhancing language use and exchanges, and
- Defines policy options and processes for language monitoring and preservation.

The US Arctic Research Commission (USARC), an independent federal agency, recommends national Arctic research policy to the President and Congress and builds cooperative links in Arctic research within the government, with the State of Alaska, and with international partners. The USARC recommends research on the following five goals.
The Circumpolar North faces major challenges in the years ahead, beyond the often-mentioned issues of climate change, indigenous empowerment and rapid resource development. While the world’s attention has shifted north in a way not seen for generations, the reality is that the region is not yet well-placed to tackle the challenges and capitalize on the opportunities posed by the 21st century. These are remarkable times, marked by the fastest and widest scientific and technological transformation in world history and the shift in requirements for personal and collective capacity building in the regional population.

The circumpolar world has to keep its eyes firmly on the climate change and resource development issues, but must also integrate into its planning the challenges of the changing world of work and the prospects that technological discoveries could greatly enhance the quality of the life in the region.

Northern resource development is possible largely because of continued improvement in extractive technologies, transportation systems, weather monitoring and the like. Continued improvement in these areas will make regional development more efficient, less expensive, safer, environmentally sustainable and more dependable.

Technological advances could – and should – also contribute to sharp improvements in the quality of life for residents in the area. The advent of the internet services, admittedly requiring improvement across the Far North, have already brought major changes to the Arctic, from e-commerce to digital education, from greater access to entertainment to the early stages of telemedicine.

Circumpolar innovation, however, is at its infancy. At present, the world’s techno-philanthropists, like Bill Gates, focus their attention on the global South, where investments in clean water systems, social media innovations, new health services and the like can and have brought sweeping changes to literally millions of the world’s poorest people. No comparable effort is being made in the Far North. Given that rich nations control the Arctic, it has fallen to these countries to take up the challenge of Arctic scientific and technological innovation and to develop innovative solutions to northern conditions. Outside of the resource sector, development has been slow. To date, there has been no concerted and truly innovative approach to improving the quality of life through innovation.

Northern regions get later and smaller versions of southern innovations, with very few North-centred innovations delivered as yet.

The North’s future hinges, in substantial measure, on a truly circumpolar initiative to capitalize on new technologies to address pressing Arctic issues. On issues from domestic water supplies, northern food security, aggressive digital medicine systems, advanced educational technologies, remotely controlled delivery technologies, improved construction materials, responsive e-government approaches, improved Arctic clothing and the like, there is far too little research and development underway. Companies are loathe to invest the necessary money on the comparatively tiny Arctic population. An innovation that gains traction in the global South could find several billion users in short order; a comparable solution suited to the needs of the Far North might attract several hundred thousand consumers.

Responding to the technological opportunities of the 21st century requires the rapid and effective mobilization of Arctic talent and resource. No one northern nation by itself has the technological capabilities, research facilities, entrepreneurial acumen or risk capital necessary to attract sustained attention to Arctic challenges. Collectively, the circumpolar world has a sizeable market, talent pool, business environment and human resolve to make more, if not the most, of the greatest sustained, intense and remarkable period of scientific and technological change ever experienced.

If this effort is left to national innovation ecosystems and to existing free market solutions, it is all but assured that the Far North will fall technologically behind the rest of the developed world, not on the resource and climate change front, but rather on the quality of life sectors of greatest importance to the people of the Arctic.

The 21st century will not be won by those countries and regions that move slowly and cautiously, but rather those that innovate at the speed of the technological revolution. The Arctic is not well poised for a technological acceleration at present. Most of the innovative work – the research facilities and the highly talented people behind the rapid improvements in extractive and other technologies – are located in the South. Diseconomies of scale have limited northern entrepreneurial innovation and regional capacity building across the Arctic. The Far North is, indeed, on the verge of being left far behind through a series of technological transitions that will make the shift from company/ resource towns to fly-in fly-out operations seem minor in comparison. The future of work, the sustainability of communities, the quality of life for northerners, and the opportunities of technologically-based transformation all hang in the balance.

There is a way forward, but it requires great resolve and commitment on behalf of Arctic governments, business and citizens. The transitions of the scientific and technological revolution are upon us, just as the transitions from company/ resource towns to fly-in fly-out operations seem minor in comparison. The future of work, the sustainability of communities, the quality of life for northerners, and the opportunities of technologically-based transformation all hang in the balance.
Sustainability is the most popular word used to describe the future of the Arctic nowadays. In most cases, it means sustainable management of the region’s rich natural resources, which we plan to extract in the near future. But can extractive resource development lead to sustainability in the Arctic? Or does our demand for further economic growth counteract sustainability and an effective climate policy? How can we build trust and trigger motivation for change towards a low-carbon economy and change of lifestyle? What role does the North propose reforming the set of economic indicators, we should also define personal action for change not as a threat, we should offer opportunities to reduce our ecological footprint on the planet. The seminar was organized in cooperation with UiT The Arctic University of Norway. The seminar was held in Tromsø on January 22, 2014, addressed these questions.

If taken seriously, human-induced climate change calls for a reorganization and transformation of our societies. Large-scale changes and threats trigger in many of us feelings of fear and insecurity, which in turn often prevents us from taking action. According to environmental sociologist Kari Marie Norgaard from the University of Oregon, our collective denial of an unpleasant reality leads to an incredible disconnect between knowledge and action and is an expression of an ethical crisis. Per Espen Stoknes, psychologist at the Centre for Climate Strategy at the Norwegian Business School in Oslo, reflected on the psychological barriers to societal change and how to overcome them. Instead of focusing on climate change as a paralyzing threat, we should offer opportunities to engage with it in a positive way. He advised using the power of social networks to trigger a change in behavior.

Practical solutions such as nudging or a cultural reframing of the climate issue in communication campaigns can help people to make the right decisions when it comes to the environment. To connect the climate issue to daily experiences, we can for example frame it as a health issue. We thus define personal action for change not as a burden, but as a step towards a healthier well-being. Our willingness to take action is, to a large extent, dependent on how we perceive climate change. Researching how we can make sense of the information and then how to convey that information to the public is therefore crucial in order to develop solutions that work.

Another researcher on the economy of the North proposed reforming the set of indicators with which we measure and define growth. Besides pure economic and financial indicators, we should also better integrate the social and human dimensions into our definition of growth and well-being. The Arctic, with its unique prerequisites and expected economic shifts, could serve as a laboratory for change concerning the development of innovative business models – a point that was also emphasized by Ken Coates from the University of Saskatchewan (see page 14). International circumpolar cooperation on business innovation and green growth is a prerequisite to secure an economic development for the region which does not counteract, but rather supports effective climate action to reduce our ecological footprint on the planet.

The seminar was organized in cooperation with UiT The Arctic University of Norway. It attracted over 150 participants from research, politics, voluntary organizations and business.
When I meet new people, and they ask me where I am from, I say Labrador. Just about everyone then asks, “Where is Labrador?”

I have many answers, and the details depend on the audience. Generally I say that Labrador is a big chunk of land on Canada’s northeast coast, that in the wintertime it’s cold with lots of snow and ice, and that we have polar bears, wolves, arctic char, ring seals and caribou. I always add that we have a large indigenous population and that the region is home to some very large mega projects run by multinational conglomerates that extract our resources with little benefit for local people, except a handful of jobs when we are lucky. While Labrador is much more than this, for conversational purposes it’s a good starting point. Interestingly, as I travel around the North I find that much of this description would fit many of the areas served by the University of the Arctic.

Like many other northern areas, we draw researchers from around the world studying topics as diverse as mental health, climate change, food security, and indigenous language retention. My home institution is Memorial University of Newfoundland, and we are very lucky to have a group of passionate researchers and professors who feel that conducting work in Labrador is an important contribution to our province, to our people, and to the world. The Labrador Institute in particular brings together committed academics that work very hard to expand the Labrador knowledge base and to provide new educational opportunities for all Labradorians. Recently the Labrador Institute has received significant support from Memorial and key partner agencies (i.e., ACOA, IBRD, etc.) to increase our capacity to conduct applied and basic research in the region.

For example, Labrador has a limited history of agriculture, mainly because our growing seasons are very short and soil quality is often poor, so one of our new researchers is collaborating with a small group of farmers using biochar to improve local soils. The goal is to improve local food security and to reduce our dependence on imported produce. Our plan is then to take this knowledge and bring it to other regions of Labrador so it can be tested in smaller and more remote communities.

Another researcher in our midst is conducting archaeological research that has been requested by a local indigenous community. Through this work the community will be better able to plan housing developments for its people while protecting important cultural and historic sites.

Our team has also been developing an indigenous teacher training program, so these individuals can return to their home communities and connect with students in ways that are often difficult for transient instructors to achieve.

Through these and many other projects we are bringing the resources of the academic community to the North to improve the quality of life of people living in our region. Some of our projects are small in scope, and some will take longer than others, but ultimately over time we hope to have a positive impact on the lives of northern peoples, through research, innovation and education right here in Labrador. In this way the Labrador Institute is a mirror of the objectives of the University of the Arctic, in that we are in the North, for the North and by the North.
TOWARDS 2020

In November 2013, UArctic’s Board of Governors approved its new Strategic Plan 2020, further establishing UArctic’s role as a membership organization that serves the North. UArctic benefits students, public and private sectors, and the North as a region by creating strong international collaboration among its members.

UArctic’s current vision is “An Empowered North - With Shared Voices,” underlining that all northerners must have a say in their own future and that of the region as a whole. Our Mission to “Empower the people of the Circumpolar North by providing unique educational and research opportunities through collaboration within a powerful network of members” reinforces that aim.

Our values continue to demonstrate UArctic’s commitment to the peoples, traditions, cultures and aspiration of the peoples of the region. UArctic is circumpolar – it promotes northern voices in the globalizing world, reflecting common values and interests across all eight Arctic states and among all northern peoples and cultures. UArctic is inclusive – it promotes cultural diversity, language plurality and gender equality while highlighting the partnership between the region’s indigenous peoples and other northerners. UArctic is reciprocal – it promotes respectful relationships in education, science, research and policy based on reciprocity, equality and trust between northerners and other partners. This approach values the inclusion of traditional and indigenous knowledge systems, together with multidisciplinary perspectives from the arts, social and natural sciences.

The new Strategic Plan also sets out our network’s goals for 2020:

**Students in the North** have access to the best and most relevant instructional and training resources.

**UArctic members** are the key participants in Arctic research.

**UArctic** is a leader in expanding knowledge about the North.

**UArctic members** gain value by their participation in the organization.

To carry out its mission and meet its goals, UArctic’s operations and organization are set out in its Strategic Implementation Plan for the period 2014-2016. UArctic maintains a focus on issue-based networking through Thematic Networks and Institutes, mobility to support student and staff exchange across all areas of the network, supporting and promoting northern-relevant education programs including Circumpolar Studies, and strengthening our members’ role in Arctic research. These activities are led by the President and six Vice-Presidents (Academic, Research, Organization, Indigenous, Interregional Cooperation, Finance), overseen by the Board of Governors. Council remains the key representative body for our members to participate and shape the organization.

**UArctic Facts**

- 174 members
  - Higher education institutions and other organizations, from the Arctic and non-Arctic regions

- Founded in 2001

- 28 Thematic Networks, 3 Institutes and 11 Offices

**north2north 2013 Student Mobility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Out</th>
<th>In</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTERACT is a circumpolar network of terrestrial field stations, building capacity for research and monitoring in the Arctic. The network currently consists of 58 research stations, reaching from Cherskii on the mouth of the Kolyma River in Northeast Siberia in the east to Barrow on the northern tip of Alaska in the west, and from the northernmost tip of land in Greenland at Station Nord to about 40 degrees south in the Tien Shan Mountains of Kyrgyzstan. The research and monitoring conducted in the INTERACT is multidisciplinary and covers for example climate change and its research facilities. INTERACT has helped to distribute information about MFS and its research facilities. Cooperation with other stations has provided an opportunity to enter the global network for Sustainable Development of the Oil-Producing Region of Yuga will be held at the Yuga State University in August 2014. Mukhrino Field Station will also be involved, and students and researchers from the UArctic universities are among the invited participants,” Elena Lapshina reveals.

She envisions that even more possibilities exist for future collaboration. “Due to the unique Arctic ecosystems in Western Siberia such as peatlands, old forests and flood plains, MFS is a crucial base for research on the climate change in the region. The Yuga region is also famous for its deposits of oil and gas, and the area is inhabited by Khanty and Mansi with their indigenous culture and traditions. All these are possible topics for the fruitful cooperation.

Read more about INTERACT at www.eu-interact.org

www.arcticresearch.wordpress.com

INTERACT Arctic Research Blogs: Join the adventure and follow the researchers conducting field work in the Arctic at the INTERACT Arctic Research Blogs.
Jeanette Klecka

Before 2008, I never had any desire to learn another language. Before 2010, I had never left the continent of North America. And before 2012, I thought that I would never visit Europe. But that year, I decided to take advantage of the wonderful north-to-north exchange program between the University of Alaska Fairbanks and Umeå University and moved to Umeå, Sweden for nine months for a totally contrasting academic and cultural experience.

Arriving in a foreign country on another continent thousands of kilometers away where you don’t speak the language or know another single person is quite the culture shock. Mix in a complete readjustment of temporal awareness, intense and random bouts of homesickness, and lack of people or food that you are used to, and it’s a mind-boggling experience.

A year’s time is a short amount of time to experience a culture, but I tried to do as much as I could to take advantage of this experience. During my time in Umeå, I learned how to speak functional Swedish, I integrated myself into a new academic system. I went to watch the Cross-Country Skiing World Cup and saw world famous athletes I had only heard about in person. I did a reindeer, I ate rotten, fermented herring for Thanksgiving dinner. I took six-hour exams as opposed to two-hour exams in Alaska. I volunteered at the local equestrian society and 4-H Club. I met and lived with friends from all continents on earth except Antarctica. I watched political propaganda and Disney cartoons in Swedish on Christmas Eve…

Living in another northern country really provided me with a new perspective on my lifestyle and home and truly made me proud to be a northerner. I learned that while there are many differences when comparing northern cultures, there are also many similarities shared by the people. Learning about new northern cultures, such as the Swedes and the Sámi people, was an invaluable experience that I can share with Alaskans.

To other students going on exchange, study hard and experience as much as possible. Travel within the country and out of it. Don’t be afraid to make new friends. Buy foods at the grocery store because you have no idea what they are. Stay in touch with your friends and family. Live to the fullest and try everything. It’s an experience you will never forget.

I will most definitely be returning to Sweden again someday. My Swedish fondu is not what it used to be, and I have stopped craving some of the Swedish foods I used to eat there, but I miss Umeå every single day of my life. It has become a part of my identity and mentality. I am so grateful for the experience and hope that others will have just as positive of an experience as I did in the future!

Student Profile

MINING NO SHORTCUT FOR GREENLAND

GREENLAND WOULD BENEFIT MOST BY PERMITTING A LIMITED NUMBER OF MINES, OPERATIONAL FOR A LIMITED NUMBER OF YEARS, IN A LIMITED NUMBER OF AREAS, CONCLUSIONS A NEW REPORT COMPILLED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN AND ILISIMATUSARFÍK, THE UNIVERSITY OF GREENLAND.

However, the report also finds that Greenland’s known mineral resource deposits are not large enough to serve as the country’s sole additional source of income in addition to fisheries.

In order for Greenland to rely solely on mining, 12 “large scale” mines would need to be operational by 2040, with five such mines in operation at any one time. Currently there are six known major mineral deposits, making such a goal, in the opinion of the Committee, unrealistic. Even with that many mineral operations, it would still be impossible to end Greenland’s reliance on the Block Grant.

SLOW BUT STEADY WINS THE MOST

The report’s authors suggest that permitting a limited number of mines, operational for a limited number of years, in a limited number of areas has the greatest potential benefit for Greenland.

The Committee looked at Greenland’s ambitions of becoming a natural resource exporter and economic independence in a broad perspective, including such areas as geology, environmental impacts, geopolitics, law, societal impacts and economy. The report contains five scenarios for Greenland’s development and identifies 21 areas that Greenland must focus on if the country’s natural resources are to benefit the country in the long term.

“As we see it, one possible way for Greenland to make the most of its natural resources would be to limit the number of mines and place them in carefully selected areas. All mines have undesired effects, but this would minimize their cultural and environmental impact,” Rosing says.

“If Greenland were to rapidly develop a major natural resource industry, as current plans call for, it would need to recruit most of the labour abroad, which would prevent local residents from developing the skills required to take jobs in the industry. That would result in reduced societal benefits.

However, the report also finds that Greenland’s known mineral resource deposits are not large enough to serve as the country’s sole additional source of income in addition to fisheries.

In order for Greenland to rely solely on mining, 12 “large scale” mines would need to be operational by 2040, with five such mines in operation at any one time. Currently there are six known major mineral deposits, making such a goal, in the opinion of the Committee, unrealistic. Even with that many mineral operations, it would still be impossible to end Greenland’s reliance on the Block Grant.

MINING NO SHORTCUT FOR GREENLAND

RESOURCES

Greenland would benefit most by permitting a limited number of mines, operational for a limited number of years, in a limited number of areas, concludes a new report compiled by the University of Copenhagen and Ilisimatusarfík, the University of Greenland.

But even if the proceeds from mining are invested in a wealth fund, the country will still rely on an annual block grant from Denmark.

The report, titled “For the Benefit of Greenland” and authored by a wide range of specialists making up the Committee for Greenlandic Mineral Resources to the Benefit of Society, concludes that, contrary to the hopes of many Greenlandic lawmakers, mineral and oil extraction is no shortcut for the country to obtain economic independence from the Kingdom of Denmark.

“Greenland faces some daunting economic challenges. And even though natural resource exploitation will become important for Greenland, it is not enough. Because of its economy and its demographics, Greenland will need to employ a range of different measures, and that includes a block grant for the foreseeable future,” says Minik Rosing, Professor of Geology at the University of Copenhagen and the chairman of the University of Greenland.

The report praises Greenland for its efforts to regulate natural resource exploitation, and confirms that such activity could serve to help Greenland on its path to development.

NATURAL RESOURCE WEALTH FUND CRUCIAL

The report also concludes that establishment of a natural resource wealth fund could be decisive in ensuring self-sufficiency. Greenlandic law currently requires a portion of the income from natural resource exploitation to be set aside in a wealth fund as a way to help stabilize the economy. Such a fund, however, has yet to be established.

“Our conclusion is that a natural resource wealth fund is an absolute necessity. If work to establish such a fund does not begin in the near future, it will be difficult for Greenland to become economically self-sufficient. And, if all known natural resource deposits are exploited without any of the proceeds being set aside in a wealth fund, the country’s national wealth would be squandered, leaving future generations with no way to provide for themselves,” Rosing says.

Our opinion, therefore, is that a more cautious approach to development would be best,” Rosing says and adds that should also focus on developing an industry unrelated to natural resource extraction in order to improve chances for economic development.
Tourism in the Arctic is an ever-growing enterprise. It literally spreads south from the North Pole in every direction and is premised on the attraction of built monuments such as the North Cape, vast landscapes like the Illulissat Icefjord, cultural practices such as Sami reindeer herding, and wildlife with charisma like the polar bear. To give tourism some scope, in summer 2012 the Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, reported that Alaska saw almost 1.6 million out-of-state visitors. The value of this tourism is estimated to be more than 46,000 jobs, in excess of USD $1.8 billion in visitor spending, and an overall economic impact of more than USD $3.9 billion.

The Icelandic Tourism Board reports that Iceland has seen tourism more than double since 2000 (302,900 international visitors in 2000, 672,900 in 2012), which in 2012 accounted for 23.5% of Iceland’s export revenue (ISK 238 billion). Similarly, in mainland Europe the Lapland tourism agency reports that visitor nights in Finnish Lapland grew from 1.7 million in 2001 to 2.4 million in 2013, which remained fairly constant at 10-12% of the market share for all of Finland.

All of this growth points to the critical role tourism plays in the economic fabric of the region, but with growth can come environmental, social and cultural strain. The UArctic Thematic Network on Northern Tourism was established to encourage collaborative research projects that examine these tensions integrated alongside educational empowerment. Keeping an interdisciplinary perspective across the Circumpolar North has always been a key concern, along with building innovative outcomes that reflect local knowledge and are relevant to northern communities. In the past year we have seen our activity levels increase. We have expanded our reach with new partners in Alaska (University of Alaska Fairbanks), Russia (Buryat State University), Canada (Cape Breton University, Thompson Rivers University, University of Ottawa, UQAM) and Scotland (University of Highlands and Islands). We have gained policy exposure by participating in sessions at the Arctic Circle conference (Reykjavík, November 2013). Network members have engaged with the Arctic Council and industry on marine tourism best practice and guidelines through the PAME working group.

In addition, we have gone back to our roots and re-connected to our educational endeavors. From 2008-2010 the network met annually to design a shared graduate program. This idea, although endorsed by UArctic, was never implemented due to a lack of funding. However, in March 2014 five member institutions, led by the University of Lapland, submitted a joint Master’s application to the Erasmus+ program to support northern tourism. We also continue to break new ground and have begun to disseminate knowledge as a collective – versus through our own individual publication records – by working on a co-authored submission to the 2014 Arctic Yearbook.
The Arctic regions of the world have never attracted as much public attention as they do today. As the Arctic has become a regularly featured topic in discussions about future energy sources, economic development and climate change, also the term “Arctic” has established a very popular status. It is increasingly used in public speech as well as marketing of destinations, services and products branded with this evidently trendy term. However, little attention has been paid to the meanings associated with this term. I became interested in the colloquial understanding and definition of the term “Arctic”. As the term is actively used in the tourism marketing of Finland and Finnish Lapland, I wanted to find out whether tourists visiting the region actually perceived it as “Arctic”, and whether their understandings of the term were similar to the images created by tourism marketing. I interviewed international tourists visiting Rovaniemi both in summer and winter, and examined their perceptions from a qualitative, phenomenological perspective. The study shows that the understandings and images tourists have of the concept of “Arctic” are not in line with the fairly urban and populated surroundings of Rovaniemi, as most tourists describe “Arctic” in relation to the geographic region, which they perceive as an uninhabited, snow-filled wilderness. Although in wintertime the city of Rovaniemi can offer tourists cold weather, snow, ice and darkness, it is still not perceived to be a truly “Arctic” destination. In summertime, “Arctic” elements are even harder to find, as most tourists connect the concept to wintery features only. Thus, the research suggests that the active use of the term “Arctic” in the tourism marketing of Finland should be reconsidered, as the Arctic images produced by tourism marketing and the presumptions tourists hold of the concept do not meet with the surrounding reality.

I became interested in the colloquial definitions people give to the concept of “Arctic” as I followed how popular the term “Arctic” is it exactly that they are marketing with the term, and how they would define “Arctic” from a social or a cultural point of view. As everyone I asked shrugged their shoulders and cited definitions from Wikipedia to me, I became determined to start investigate the matter myself. I developed the descriptive term “Arcticity”, based on the similar term “nordicity” coined by the Canadian geographer and linguist Louis-Edmond Hamelin, to describe the “Arctic” features people identify in their perceptions. For me, defining Arcticity became more than just a research problem of my thesis – it became a passion. I plan to continue the work I started in my Master’s thesis, to be able to reach a broader understanding of all the different ways people comprehend and assign meanings to their ideas of the Arctic.

Tourism Research student, University of Lapland

Although born in a small coastal town in Western Finland, I’ve lived most of my life in Rovaniemi, Finnish Lapland. I study tourism research at the University of Lapland in Rovaniemi, specializing in Arctic tourism. In 2012 I got the chance to travel to the 6th annual UArctic Rectors’ Forum held in Manitoba, Canada as a student representative of the University of Lapland. Sitting on the airplane, crossing the Atlantic for the first time in my life, I did not quite suspect how big of an influence the trip would have on me. During the intense one-week trip to Canada – the country I had always dreamed to visit – I immersed myself with the nature and free cultures surrounding me. I will never forget the experiences I gained and the people I met during the trip, and I will definitely return to Canada one day.

My interest in the Arctic issues began on a day like any other; in December 2011 I looked outside my window, depressed to see that the temperature was still not below zero and there was no snow. It was then that I asked myself, “How on earth do people market this place as an Arctic destination?” I did not realize that asking myself that question would spark an increasing interest in Arctic issues, which during the years developed into a passion for both my research topic and the Arctic region.

Today, I have just finished my Master’s studies and will soon graduate as a Master of Social Sciences. In my Master’s thesis I investigated the concept of “Arctic” from a social perspective, wanting to find out how tourists understand and define the concept. I am currently working as a project coordinator at the university, developing an international Master’s degree program on northern tourism, originally created by the members of Arctic Thematic Network on Northern Tourism. In the future I would like to continue working on my research, perhaps even find a profession related to Arctic issues. I would like to offer my contribution to the development of sustainable tourism in the Arctic and deepen my own relationship to the vast region. Most importantly, I want to stay and live in the North, as it has become my home in a way like no other place ever before.
Capacity Building Through Health Research and Education

Many Arctic communities suffer from critical shortages of health professionals due to geographically dispersed populations, lack of local training, recruitment challenges including short-term positions, continuity and responsibility, and cultural and language barriers. Building capacity in the Arctic region through health research and education is of vital importance to overcome these challenges. The mission of the UArctic Thematic Network on Health and Well-being in the Arctic is to improve the sustainable development of health and well-being in circumpolar regions by promoting research projects on health, organizing research training and distributing scientific information. The main task of the network is to increase the quantity and quality of scientific research carried out in the circumpolar area through the development of a graduate school and international Master’s and PhD programs for Circumpolar Health and Well-being.

Specialist education for medical doctors in Greenland as general practice has been a possibility through the last decade and has demonstrated its importance for capacity building in the healthcare services. The Greenland Center for Health Research was established in 2008 and connected to University of Greenland in 2013. The Center’s vision is to improve the health status in Greenland through initiation and coordination of health research. The Center aims to increase coordination among research institutions, develop, exchange, disseminate and apply scientific knowledge; create national and international networks; build local capacity through mentoring and PhD programs; and improve community involvement and local partnerships.

The Thematic Network helped organize a PhD Summer School in cooperation with the Greenland Center for Health Research, the Greenland Climate Research Centre and the University of Alberta in Nuuk in September 2013. The summer school took place in association with the NUNAMED 2013 conference with “Health, Society and Environment in Relation to Large-Scale Industrial Projects” as its theme.

Given the prospect of the growth of extractive industries in Greenland, the conference participants explored the consequences and implications of large-scale projects for personal and community health and well-being, as well as the impacts on society and environment. With reference to historical, current and planned projects, such as an iron ore mining project in the Nuuk Fjord, a range of social, cultural and environmental health issues in wider Greenlandic and circumpolar context were also considered. The Summer School included lectures from leading Arctic scientists, together with workshops that explored the themes in depth.

By Gert Mulvad
MD, Greenland Center for Health Research, University of Greenland
Increasing Northern Mobility with Denmark, Greenland and the Faroe Islands

By Pirkko Pulkkanen
International Relations Coordinator, UArctic International Secretariat

Supported by the Danish Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation (DASTI), UArctic is working to strengthen activities with members from Denmark, Greenland and the Faroe Islands. The first project, a pilot mobility program called MobilityDK, was launched in 2013, with three other projects related to Thematic Networks currently in development.

MobilityDK was launched as a pilot model for providing financial support for teachers, researcher and student exchange. The project is part of an overall goal to support mobility for the Kingdom of Denmark’s Arctic education activities, and mobility from the Kingdom of Denmark to Arctic educational activities in different areas of the Arctic through the UArctic network. Furthermore, the staff mobility component promotes the initiation of new Thematic Networks with Denmark, Greenland and the Faroe Islands.

Strengthening the mobility component promotes the initiation of new Thematic Networks with Denmark, Greenland and the Faroe Islands.

By Nina-Vivi Andersen
Science, Education and Innovation.

Nina-Vivi Andersen
Some say that the world is small, but the truth is, it is big and complicated when it comes to the laws, non-governmental organizations, politics, finance, the EU and the cooperation between countries. We need to build a bridge between countries and help the institutions to get better knowledge of each other’s Arctic-relevant activities. Positive signals were given towards the mobility and project funding from the Ministry to support UArctic. The next caucus meeting takes place in May 2014 in Copenhagen, the aim of which is to discuss increased involvement of members from the Kingdom of Denmark in UArctic activities, the status and results of the UArctic projects funded by DASTI (including the MobilityDK project), and priorities for future funding.

FROM NUUK TO TROMSØ: A STUDY TRIP REPORT

Nina-Vivi Andersen

I was surprised how much we have in common with Norway (Tromsø). Our histories, fisheries, nature (except for the trees) have a lot in common — it’s like seeing how Greenland will look like in the future. It was a great feeling, knowing that we aren’t the only ones living in a difficult climate, trying to live from fishery. In Norway they are already drilling oil, and that’s what we want to accomplish in the future as well. So I look forward to work on it and hope that someday in the future we in Greenland will be as successful.

Andrea Christiansen
Meeting with the Arctic Council employees was special. The way the communication chief represented the Council was great, understandable, and easy to discuss. We saw three horrifying movie clips about climate change that touched us because we live in the core of the worldwide change. The meeting expanded my point of view on how they are fighting climate change. Now, knowing how the arena for discussions takes the issues and brings them up is comforting. For me it’s a sign of hope — a hope that more of people will take action before it’s too late.

Ane-Marie Petersen
I can only say this is much better than just sitting in our home and reading about it. Now the experience is stuck in our heads. If we were just home and reading a text, it would eventually be forgotten. But because of the study trip, it will not be.

MobilityDK is a pilot model for providing financial support for teachers, researcher and student exchange. MobilityDK is a part of the UArctic Danish Mobility Project, the goal of which is to support mobility for the Kingdom of Denmark’s Arctic education activities and mobility from the Kingdom of Denmark to Arctic educational activities in different areas of the Arctic through the UArctic network. The program is supported by the Danish Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation.

By Pirkko Pulkkanen
International Relations Coordinator, UArctic International Secretariat

“My short stay in Greenland provided an introduction to the country, its welcoming people, and networks that are established to foster coordination among research institutions and to create international networks. I am thankful for this experience as it gave me a broader perspective of Arctic issues.” (Sandra Juntunen, Thule Institute, University of Oulu)

“Danish Arctic research is Greenland-oriented and would benefit from a broader circumpolar perspective. Participation in Arctic Frontiers gave me the opportunity to engage with e.g. Saami civil society leaders, Norwegian research leaders, US-Alaskan research leaders, and Norwegian and Finnish business executives for developing collaboration which can contribute to broaden Danish Arctic research.” (Rasmus Gjeddes Hertelom, Aalborg University)

“The grant allowed me to obtain teaching experience but also develop my knowledge of this island nation in the West Nordic region of the Arctic and gave me a lot of contacts.” (Irina Zhilina, Arctic Centre, University of Lapland)

In addition to MobilityDK, another three projects linked to Thematic Networks are underway: Nordic Mining School e-learning course (led by Arctic Technology Centre ARTEK at Technical University of Denmark); interdisciplinary summer school “Comprehensive Sustainable Development in Arctic Societies” (led by CIRCLA Centre for Innovation and Research in Culture and Learning in the Arctic at Aalborg University); and Nordic Master Program, Managing Societal Development in the High North (led by the University of the Faroe Islands).

To establish good communication between UArctic and the members and to discuss matters of common concern, a caucus meeting of all UArctic members in the Kingdom of Denmark was organized in November 2013 at Aalborg University. The meeting was a kick-off for deeper future cooperation with UArctic and to help the institutions to get better knowledge of each other’s Arctic-relevant activities. Positive signals were given towards the mobility and project funding from the Ministry to support UArctic. The next caucus meeting takes place in May 2014 in Copenhagen, the aim of which is to discuss increased involvement of members from the Kingdom of Denmark in UArctic activities, the status and results of the UArctic projects funded by DASTI (including the MobilityDK project), and priorities for future funding.

Irkil Kristiansen
The similarities between Greenland and Norway were there to see, even before our flight landed in Tromsø. Towns scattered along a similar coast, the mountains, and, of course, the snow. The climate was not all that different either, in terms of humidity (good) and temperature (bad). The obvious difference was the trees. That, however, was a big difference. In many ways Norway feels familiar, but yet so different that it feels alien.

Paarnag Hansen
I was surprised how much we have in common with Norway (Tromsø). Our histories, fisheries, nature (except for the trees) have a lot in common — it’s like seeing how Greenland will look like in the future. It was a great feeling, knowing that we aren’t the only ones living in a difficult climate, trying to live from fishery. In Norway they are already drilling oil, and that’s what we want to accomplish in the future as well. So I look forward to work on it and hope that someday in the future we in Greenland will be as successful.

By Nina-Vivi Andersen
Science, Education and Innovation.
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Some say that the world is small, but the truth is, it is big and complicated when it comes to the laws, non-governmental organizations, politics, finance, the EU and the cooperation between countries. We need to build a bridge between countries and help the institutions to get better knowledge of each other’s Arctic-relevant activities. Positive signals were given towards the mobility and project funding from the Ministry to support UArctic. The next caucus meeting takes place in May 2014 in Copenhagen, the aim of which is to discuss increased involvement of members from the Kingdom of Denmark in UArctic activities, the status and results of the UArctic projects funded by DASTI (including the MobilityDK project), and priorities for future funding.
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I can only say this is much better than just sitting in our home and reading about it. Now the experience is stuck in our heads. If we were just home and reading a text, it would eventually be forgotten. But because of the study trip, it will not be.

MobilityDK is a pilot model for providing financial support for teachers, researcher and student exchange. MobilityDK is a part of the UArctic Danish Mobility Project, the goal of which is to support mobility for the Kingdom of Denmark’s Arctic education activities and mobility from the Kingdom of Denmark to Arctic educational activities in different areas of the Arctic through the UArctic network. The program is supported by the Danish Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation.
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I can only say this is much better than just sitting in our home and reading about it. Now the experience is stuck in our heads. If we were just home and reading a text, it would eventually be forgotten. But because of the study trip, it will not be.
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Aoluguya is located approximately four kilometers outside the city of Genhe in northeast China. The reindeer herding peoples in China are Evenki and are concentrated in and around Aoluguya.

The World Reindeer Herders’ Congress is a unique cultural and professional event that brings together representatives of reindeer herding peoples from around the circumpolar region. The Congress is organized by the Association of World Reindeer Herders (WRH) in cooperation with the International Centre for Reindeer Husbandry and local hosts. International cooperation in reindeer husbandry in modern times dates back to 1993 with the Reindeer Peoples’ Festival in Tromsø, Norway. Previous congresses have been held in Nadym, Russia, Inari, Finland, Yakutsk, Russia and in Kautokeino, Norway.

Approximately 300 people from seven national states participated in the Congress. In this respect the Fifth World Reindeer Herders’ Congress could be said to be the largest ever.

The Congress was also attended by representatives from national, regional and international authorities, from education and research institutions both at national and international level, and other state and private organizations and bodies dealing with issues related to reindeer husbandry and minorities.

Issues handled by the Congress included the four-year report from board of WRH to the Congress on activities and work done since the last Congress in 2009, which was in Kautokeino, Norway. Further, the Congress accepted Scotland’s application to become a full member region in WRH, and accepted the offer by Sweden to host the next Congress in 2017.

The Congress appointed a new Council and elected the Council presidency. Mr. Mikhail Pogodaev from the Republic of Sakha (Yakutia) was elected as Chair, while Mr. Mikhail Yar from Yamalo-Nenets AO and Mr. Gu Xinjun from China were elected as Vice-Presidents. The Council named the new Board, and it consists of seven members with Mr. Mikhail Pogodaev from the Republic of Sakha (Yakutia) as Chair and Ms. Helena Omma from Sweden and Mrs. Inger Anita Smuk from Norway as Vice-Chairs.

The most important document emerging from the Congress is the Aoluguya Declaration, in which the Congress has defined issues and work to which WRH’s executive bodies should give particular attention to in the upcoming period (2013-2017). The Congress has listed six of the most central issues facing world reindeer husbandry: 1) reindeer husbandry in taiga areas, 2) information and communication, 3) health in reindeer husbandry, 4) globalization and international collaboration, 5) pastures and biodiversity, and 6) youth, knowledge, research and education.

The Aoluguya Declaration, both in English and Russian, can be found on WRH’s homepage: www.reindeerherding.org.
THE ARCTIC SUSTAINABLE ARTS AND DESIGN (ASAD) THEMATIC NETWORK HAS BEEN DEVELOPING NEW MODES OF COLLABORATION IN EDUCATION, RESEARCH, ART AND DESIGN SINCE 2012. IN 2013, FOUR WORKSHOPS WERE ARRANGED.

ART AND DESIGN SINCE 1995: THEMATIC NETWORK ARCTIC ARRANGED.

For more information on the activities of the thematic network and its work see: www.asadnetwork.org.

By Timo Jokela
Lead of UArctic Thematic Network on Arctic Sustainable Arts and Design (ASAD), Dean, Faculty of Art and Design, University of Lapland

ASAD aims to share good practice by publishing results of research and developing projects. The latest publication COOL – Applied Visual Art in the North, presents a series of essays and reports on the topic of contemporary arts focused on community-based and socially engaged art. Authors include academics, artists, curators and postgraduate students who share a commitment to advancing the cause of context sensitive art, research and education in the North.
Sacred Sites as Arctic Cultural Heritage

International Conference and Workshop to Launch an Educational Research Project on Sacred Natural Sites as Arctic Cultural Heritage – Co-organized by the Thematic Network on Arctic Law

By Leena Heinäniemi, Vice-Lead of UArctic Thematic Network on Arctic Law, Researcher, Arctic Centre, University of Lapland and Thora Martina Herrmann, Associate Professor, Université de Montréal

C ulturally and spiritually important landscapes in the Arctic region express the interconnectedness of indigenous peoples with the natural and spiritual environment. Their preservation has been, and continues to be, essential to indigenous peoples’ identity and traditional livelihoods. These living landscapes can contain individual sites, or sacred sites, which are associated with strong spiritual or cultural intangible values of the natural elements. During the last decade, there has been a growing awareness that cultural landscapes that include both cultural and natural elements are worth preserving. Likewise, the importance of indigenous peoples’ sacred sites for the conservation of biological and cultural diversity has received increasing legal attention. As recognized by UNESCO, sacred sites can be seen as the world heritage of all people, worth preserving for future generations. In the Arctic, they can be seen as places of spiritual well-being, enhancing the good quality of life in the North.

In September 2013, the Northern Institute for Environmental and Minority Law at the Arctic Centre of the University of Lapland, together with the Université de Montréal and the UArctic Thematic Network on Arctic Law organized an international, multi-disciplinary conference titled Protecting the Sacred: Recognition of Sacred Sites of Indigenous Peoples for Sustaining Nature and Culture in Northern and Arctic Regions. This significant and warm-hearted event took place in Rovaniemi and Pyhätunturi, an ancient sacred area of the Forest-Saami. It gathered nearly 80 sacred sites guardians of indigenous communities, indigenous peoples, scientists and policy makers to discuss pressing sacred sites issues, i.e. legal and environmental protection, education, identity, cultural heritage preservation, transmission of culture and spirituality. Participants came from six Arctic countries, and as far away as Yakutia, eastern Siberia, Canada and Alaska.

Conference participants joined to issue a Statement and Recommendations for policy-making and management related to sacred sites in the Arctic. This Statement calls for better recognition, legal protection and management of sacred sites and sanctuaries of indigenous peoples in the Arctic region. The Conference Statement and Recommendations acknowledge the need to address growing threats to sacred sites such as climate change, extractive industries such as mining, forestry, oil and gas and their associated operations, unsustainable tourism, military operations and infrastructural developments, state-dominated educational curricula, religious imposition and vandalism. It reaffirms the need for respect for indigenous peoples’ right to self-determination and their view that any measure for the protection of indigenous peoples’ sacred sites must be considered under self-determination and the effective participation of indigenous peoples.

The Conference acted as a platform to create a multidisciplinary, educational and participatory research project on Arctic sacred sites. A first comprehensive book with more than twenty high quality articles is under preparation. The next step will be to hold an indigenous rights-holder workshop this June (2014) in Inari, Finnish Lapland in order to advance the project planning. Besides the co-organizers of the Conference, this workshop is co-organized with the Saami Educational Institute and the Saami museum Suomaj. The workshop will give the strongest voice to indigenous peoples as owners of their traditional knowledge and cultural heritage. The research project aims to create true partnerships between academics and indigenous organizations, science and education as well as different knowledge systems, highlighting indigenous practices and customary laws as necessary and relevant in all discussions and actions related to the conservation and the management of sacred sites.